### JUDICIAL COUNCIL

### **OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

## OCT 20 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

# IN RE COMPLAINT OF

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Nos. 16-90132 and 16-90133

**ORDER** 

## THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that two district judges have accepted bribes, are biased, obtained illegal loans, and conspired to falsely declare complainant a vexatious litigant. Complainant offers no objectively verifiable proof in support of these serious charges, and a review of the underlying record cited by complainant does not support his claims. Accordingly, these allegations are dismissed as unfounded. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); <u>In re Complaint of</u> <u>Judicial Misconduct</u>, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Complainant has filed several previous misconduct complaints, and was cautioned in a previous order that repetitive, harassing or frivolous complaints may result in complainant being restricted from filing further complaints. <u>See In</u> <u>re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct</u>, Nos. 16-90113 and 16-90114. Accordingly, complainant is ordered to show cause why he should not be sanctioned by an order



requiring him to obtain leave before filing any further misconduct complaints. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 10(a).

Complainant has thirty-five days from the filing of this order to file a response, which will be transmitted to the Judicial Council for its consideration.

# DISMISSED and COMPLAINANT ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE.